

## STUDY ON ANTIFUNGAL ACTIVITY OF HYPHAE EXTRACT OF A *STREPTOMYCES* STRAIN HU2014 AGAINST FOUR PHYTOPATHOGENIC FUNGI

**Zhu Hongxia**

PhD student

Sumy National Agrarian University, Sumy, Ukraine

Henan Institute of Science and Technology, Xinxiang, China

ORCID: 0000-0003-0113-779X

zhxhg105@163.com

**Cao Zhishan**

PhD student

Sumy National Agrarian University, Sumy, Ukraine

Henan Institute of Science and Technology, Xinxiang, China

ORCID: 0000-0003-3127-4592

caozhishan123@163.com

**Rozhkova Tetiana**

PhD (Biological Sciences), Associate Professor

Sumy National Agrarian University, Sumy, Ukraine

ORCID: 0000-0002-0791-9736

rozhkova8@gmail.com

**Linfeng Hu**

PhD, Associate Professor

Henan Institute of Science and Technology, Xinxiang, China

ORCID: 0000-0001-6332-2283

wood9818@sina.com

The application of chemical pesticides emerges many disadvantages, so new natural resources of suppressing plant diseases are needed. Actinobacteria are gaining interest in agriculture as biological control agents (BCAs). *Streptomyces* spp. are part of actinobacteria and are known for producing a large number of active metabolites. In this paper, the antifungal effect of the hyphae methanol extract (HME) of a *Streptomyces* strain HU2014 on four phytopathogenic fungi was investigated by the growth rate method. A pretest study on different concentrations of the HME was conducted to determine a suitable range of antifungal activity. The result showed that the inhibited effect of the HME against *Rhizoctonia solani* was better than the other three fungi, reached 100 % with the concentration of 0.5 mg/ml. Based on the above test, the Log concentration-probit regression lines were obtained according to the inhibition rate with different concentrations. The  $EC_{50}$  value of the HME against *R. solani* at 48 h, 72 h, and 96 h were lowest in other fungi respectively. The toxicity regression equations of HME on *R. solani* was  $y = 6.9826 + 1.4028x$  (Correlation Coefficient  $r = 0.9783$ ), and the  $EC_{50}$  value was 0.0386 mg/ml at 72 h. The toxicity regression equations of HME on *Botrytis cinerea* was  $y = 5.6627 + 1.2386x$  (Correlation Coefficient  $r = 0.9614$ ), and the  $EC_{50}$  value was 0.2917 mg/ml at 72h. The toxicity regression equations of HME on *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* was  $y = 5.3143 + 1.0873x$  (Correlation Coefficient  $r = 0.9996$ ), and the  $EC_{50}$  value was 0.5140 mg/ml at 72 h. The toxicity regression equations of HME on *Fusarium graminearum* was  $y = 5.7011 + 2.3280x$  (Correlation Coefficient  $r = 0.9869$ ), and the  $EC_{50}$  value was 0.5024 mg/ml at 72 h. The *Streptomyces* HU2014 strain has a significant antifungal effect and may become a new biocontrol agent in agricultural production.

**Key words:** *Streptomyces*, phytopathogenic fungi, antifungal effect,  $EC_{50}$ .

DOI <https://doi.org/10.32845/agrobio.2021.3.11>

**Introduction.** Fungal disease is one of the main causes of serious falling yields in modern agriculture (Adesina et al., 2007; Cha et al., 2016; McCulloch et al., 2020). Such as *Rhizoctonia solani* JG Kühn and *Fusarium graminearum* Schwabe are perhaps best known for causing diseases in Gramineae (Breunig et al., 2021; Cubeta et al., 1997), *Botrytis cinerea* Persoon and *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* (Penz.) Saccardo are two of the most important postharvest fungal pathogens causing significant losses in fresh fruits, vegetables and ornamentals

(Chaouachi et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021). Chemical treatment is the usual method to control fungal disease (Peng et al., 2014). But the long-term use of chemical pesticides has also posed a serious threat to human safety and natural environment protection (Macaulay et al., 2021; Rani et al., 2021).

Biopesticides (in China) refer to the living organisms with pesticide activity or the active substances produced by them, which are used to control diseases, pests and weeds (Deacon et al., 1993; Wei et al., 2008). Biopesticides

have the following advantages (Tian et al., 2007): ① low toxicity and high efficiency; ② strong selectivity (they only have good control effect on target organisms and closely related organisms, but are safe and harmless to non-target organisms such as human and animals); ③ low residue; ④ greatly reduce the use of traditional pesticides without affecting modern agricultural production. Microbial pesticide is a kind of substance with pesticide physiological activity, which is made from microorganism and/or its metabolites. *Streptomyces* can produce a variety of bioactive substances, which play an important role in improving the plant disease resistance (Katz et al., 2016; Tarkka et al., 2008). *Streptomyces* are promising in agriculture as plant-growth-promoting (PGP) bacteria and/or biological control agents (BCAs) (Dias et al., 2017; Viaene et al., 2016). Several *Streptomyces* species have been researched and/or used to control plant diseases (Lu et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2018; Wan et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2019).

In this study, we determined the antifungal activity of the hyphae methanol extract (HME) of the strain HU2014 by growth rate method on *R. solani*, *C. gloeosporioides*, *B. cinerea* and *F. graminearum*. In order to provide scientific basis for finding the antifungal active components and microbial fungicides suitable for crop disease control.

**Materials and methods.** *Materials.* The plant pathogenic fungi including *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Botrytis cinerea*, *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, *Fusarium graminearum* and the strain HU2014 were afforded by Henan Institute of Science and Technology (HIST).

*Methods.* Fungi culture and hyphae of the strain HU2014 preparation. The fungi were pre-cultured on potato dextrose agar (PDA) plate at 25°C for about 6 days. The strain HU2014 was pre-cultured on PDA medium at 4°C until required. The hyphae discs were transferred to PDA plate at 25°C for about 8 days. The activated discs were put into sterile GPY broth in 250 ml flasks, incubated at 28°C with shaking at 150 r. min<sup>-1</sup> for 15 days. The fermentation broth was centrifuged (8000 r.min<sup>-1</sup>, 4°C) for 15 minutes to separate the supernatants. The hyphae were filtrated through nylon filter, washed with sterile water, and made more drier with filter paper. The filtrated hyphae were lyophilized (Christ ALPHA 1-4 LSC, Germany) to dry using following settings: 72 h, -10°C, 0.5 mbar (primary drying); 24 h, 20°C, 0.01 mbar (final drying) (Grossmann et al., 2018), and stored at 4 °C for experiment.

*Extraction of the effective components.* The methanol dipping method was employed to extract effective components (Jiao, R. H. et al., 2013; Thabard et al., 2011). After weighting 73.8 g of dried hyphae accurately, it was dissolved with proper methanol and crushed with ultrasonic wave. Methanol was added to 600 ml and the hyphae were soaked for 24h. The fractions of the hyphae were evaporated and then lyophilized (Christ ALPHA 1-4 LSC, Germany) after a Buchner funnel to remove the residue. Then the extract was obtained and stored at 4 °C for experiment.

*Determination of the antifungal activity.* The antifungal activities of the extracts were determined by growth rate method (Hadacek et al., 2000; Xu, G.-F. et al., 2007). Firstly, we conducted a pretest. The gradient concentration of the extract

was 20.0 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, 10.0 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, 5.0 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> with sterile water. The above reagents were mixed at ratios of 1:9 (v/v) with melted PDA medium, respectively. That is to say, the tested concentration of the extract was diluted 10 times. Immediately, 10 ml mixed medium was aseptically poured into a sterile 9 cm Petri dish and allowed to solidify. The plug (4 mm in diameter) of each phytopathogenic fungi was separately placed on the center of the plate. Fungal plug was inoculated on pure PDA plate as control. The experiments were conducted three times. And the plates were incubated at 25°C. The treated colony diameter (TCD) and control colony diameter (CCD) was measured at 48 h, 72 h and 96 h, respectively. The formula for the calculation of inhibition rate (I) is as follow:  $I(\%) = [(CCD-4) - (TCD-4)] / (CCD-4) \times 100\%$ , Where 4: Diameter of the cut fungus (measurement unit: mm).

According to the pretest, we set a series of concentrations of the extracts: 30.0 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, 20.0 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, 15.0 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, 10.0 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, 5.0 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, 2.5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> and 1.25 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>. The above reagents were mixed at ratios of 1:9 (v/v) with melted PDA medium, respectively. The next test was followed the above. After measuring the inhibition rate, the toxicity regression equations and the EC<sub>50</sub> value were calculated.

*Statistical methods.* Statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) were evaluated by an analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS version 16.0 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, United States). All data shown are the average value of three biological replicates ± SD.

**Results.** *The pre-screening of antifungal activities.* It can be drawn from Fig. 1 and Table 1 that different concentrations of the HME had different antifungal effects on the four pathogenic fungi. Three different concentrations of the HME completely inhibited the growth of *R. solani* at 48 h, 72 h and 96 h. the highest inhibition rate was 92.41 % against *B. cinerea* with the concentration of 2 mg/mL at 96 h. Followed by 75 % against *C. gloeosporioides* with the concentration of 2 mg/mL at 72 h, and 88.70 % against *F. graminearum* with the concentration of 2 mg/mL at 72 h. According to the above result, the inhibition rate of the HME against *R. solani* was the best in all that of fungi with the same concentration, and the concentrations of the HME should be decreased against *R. solani* for its completely inhibition at three different concentrations. A series of concentrations of the HME were reset to determine the toxicity curve and the EC<sub>50</sub> value of the strain HU2014.

*3.2 The EC<sub>50</sub> curve analysis.* The 50 % effective concentration (EC<sub>50</sub>) values were deduced from log probit analysis at 48 h, 72 h and 96 h (Jiang et al., 2004). From Table 2, the results showed that there was a high correlation between the concentration of the HME and the inhibition rate of fungal growth. The EC<sub>50</sub> value of the HME against *R. solani* always was the lowest in all that of fungi at three sampling times, for 48 h, 72 h and 96 h showed 0.0284 mg/mL, 0.0386 mg/mL and 0.1040 mg/mL respectively. and that against *B. cinerea* were 0.3000 mg/mL, 0.2917 mg/mL and 0.3560 mg/mL respectively. Interestingly, that of the HME against *C. gloeosporioides* did not change significantly with concentration, varied from 0.5140 mg/ mL to 0.5520 mg/ mL. The EC<sub>50</sub> value of the HME against *F. graminearum* were 0.3200 mg/mL, 0.5024 mg/mL and 0.5311 mg/mL respectively.



Fig. 1. The antifungal activities of the HME at three concentrations against four pathogenic fungi. Where XC: *F. graminearum*; FH: *B. cinerea*; SW: *R. solani*; PT: *C. gloeosporioides*

Table 1

The antifungal activities of the HME against four pathogenic fungi

Tested fungi	Inhibition rate (%)								
	48 h			72 h			96 h		
	2 mg/mL	1 mg/mL	0.5 mg/mL	2 mg/mL	1 mg/mL	0.5 mg/mL	2 mg/mL	1 mg/mL	0.5 mg/mL
<i>R. solani</i>	100±0 <sup>a</sup>	100±0 <sup>a</sup>	100±0 <sup>a</sup>	100±0 <sup>a</sup>	100±0 <sup>a</sup>	100±0 <sup>a</sup>	100±0 <sup>a</sup>	100±0 <sup>a</sup>	100±0 <sup>a</sup>
<i>B. cinerea</i>	86.49±4.68 <sup>b</sup>	66.27±4.17 <sup>b</sup>	43.66±4.88 <sup>c</sup>	92.09±4.27 <sup>b</sup>	67.33±2.57 <sup>b</sup>	69.90±9.35 <sup>b</sup>	92.41±1.58 <sup>b</sup>	69.58±1.04 <sup>b</sup>	69.91±6.91 <sup>b</sup>
<i>C. gloeosporioides</i>	71.74±3.77 <sup>c</sup>	63.24±2.55 <sup>b</sup>	62.71±2.94 <sup>b</sup>	75.00±3.57 <sup>c</sup>	65.42±4.28 <sup>b</sup>	66.33±3.06 <sup>b</sup>	68.29±0 <sup>c</sup>	58.50±5.14 <sup>b</sup>	56.94±2.41 <sup>c</sup>
<i>F. graminearum</i>	71.43±3.53 <sup>c</sup>	57.14±8.57 <sup>b</sup>	42.11±4.56 <sup>c</sup>	88.70±1.51 <sup>b</sup>	59.57±3.69 <sup>c</sup>	53.00±3.46 <sup>c</sup>	86.49±1.87 <sup>d</sup>	68.39±3.59 <sup>b</sup>	60.45±5.18 <sup>c</sup>

Where C: concentration; The experiment was in 3 replicates. \* mean values followed by different letters in each column are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

Table 2

The toxicity regression line of the HME against four fungi

Time	Fungi	Equation	EC <sub>50</sub> (mg/ mL)	SD	F value	R	P value
48h	<i>R. solani</i>	$y=6.9613+1.2686x$	0.0284	0.1853	46.8677	0.9695	0.0039
	<i>B. cinerea</i>	$y=5.7989+1.5281x$	0.3000	0.1347	128.6081	0.9848	0.0003
	<i>C. gloeosporioides</i>	$y=5.327+1.2635x$	0.5511	0.1185	113.7514	0.9871	0.0018
	<i>F. graminearum</i>	$y=5.8095+1.6403x$	0.3210	0.2749	35.5939	0.9603	0.0094
72h	<i>R. solani</i>	$y=6.9826+1.4028x$	0.0386	0.2235	39.3903	0.9783	0.0033
	<i>B. cinerea</i>	$y=5.6627+1.2386x$	0.2917	0.1772	48.8687	0.9614	0.0022
	<i>C. gloeosporioides</i>	$y=5.3143+1.0873x$	0.5140	0.0573	360.1898	0.9959	0.0003
	<i>F. graminearum</i>	$y=5.7011+2.3280x$	0.5024	0.1083	639.0019	0.9996	0.0096
96h	<i>R. solani</i>	$y=6.8632+1.8956x$	0.1040	0.1552	149.1955	0.9869	0.0003
	<i>B. cinerea</i>	$y=5.3193+0.7135x$	0.3560	0.0254	788.2814	0.9981	0.0001
	<i>C. gloeosporioides</i>	$y=5.2563+0.9941x$	0.5520	0.1039	91.4727	0.9840	0.0024
	<i>F. graminearum</i>	$y=5.6953+2.5297x$	0.5311	0.0913	767.8400	0.9993	0.0230

Where SD: Standard deviation; R: r coefficient

We can draw a conclusion that the HME had the highest antifungal activity on *R. solani* and its EC<sub>50</sub> value at 48 h and 72 h just were closed to one percent of that against other tested fungi. This was an exciting finding for further research.

**Discussion.** It is a well-known fact that *Streptomyces* sp. produces active metabolites that can inhibit the growth of phytopathogens (Adesina et al., 2007; Katz et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2019). The EC<sub>50</sub> value is commonly used to evaluate drug potency and sensitivity of plant pathogens (Li, J. L. et al., 2015; Li, M. et al., 2015; Liang et al., 2015). Some reports about the compounds had excellent inhibition activities through the comparison with the EC<sub>50</sub> value of commercialized fungicides (Hu, H. R. et al., 2020; Hu, M. J. et al., 2013; Xu, S. Q. et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2020). In this study, bioassay results in vitro indicated that the HME exhibited strong activity against *R. solani*, *B. cinerea* and *F. graminearum*. The anti- *R. solani* EC<sub>50</sub> values were 0.0284 mg/ mL at 48 h, followed by the EC<sub>50</sub> values of anti- *B. cinerea* indicating 0.3000 mg/ mL at 48 h and 0.2917 mg/mL at 72 h respectively. The values had a certain gap between the HME and the commonly used fungicides, such as carbendazim (EC<sub>50</sub>=0.43 μg/ mL) (Jiao, J. et al., 2021) and fluopyram (EC<sub>50</sub>=0.244 mg/L) (Yan et al., 2020). We know, the HME in this study was not the pure compound. Although the antifungal activity of the HME of the strain HU2014 was determined in this study, it is not sure which substance had antifungal effect on the four tested fungi, and it

needs to further purify and verify the antifungal activity. This study is only a preliminary exploration and the mechanism of action needs further study.

**Conclusions.** We found a streptomyces strain HU2014 and study the antifungal activity of the HME of the strain with different concentrations against *R. solani*, *B. cinerea*, *C. gloeosporioides* and *F. graminearum*. The results showed that in a certain concentration range, the antifungal effect of the HME on the hyphae growth of four pathogenic fungi was highly significant correlations with concentration. According to the inhibition rate, EC<sub>50</sub> and related parameters, the order of antifungal effect of the HME against four pathogenic fungi was as follows: *R. solani* > *B. cinerea* > *F. graminearum* > *C. gloeosporioides*. Especially, the EC<sub>50</sub> value of the HME against *R. solani* was significantly lower than that of other three fungi. The results showed that the HME of the strain HU2014 had outstanding antifungal activity on *R. solani*, and would be a choice for biocontrol agents.

With the improvement of people's quality of life and the gradual strengthening of environmental awareness, this kind of microbial pesticide would attract attention of the researchers and planters. Therefore, it is necessary to further purify the components and strengthen the pharmacological research on the antifungal effect of the HME of the strain HU2014, increase its value in agricultural production, and create greater economic benefits for human society.

#### References:

1. Adesina, M. F., Lembke, A., Costa, R., Speksnijder, A., & Smalla, K. (2007). Screening of bacterial isolates from various European soils for in vitro antagonistic activity towards *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Fusarium oxysporum*: Site-dependent composition and diversity revealed. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 39(11), 2818–2828. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.06.004
2. Breunig, M., & Chilvers, M. I. (2021). Baseline sensitivity of *Fusarium graminearum* from wheat, corn, dry bean and soybean to pydiflumetofen in Michigan, USA. *Crop Protection*, 140, 6. doi: 10.1016/j.cropro.2020.105419
3. Cha, J. Y., Han, S., Hong, H. J., Cho, H., Kim, D., Kwon, Y., Kwon, S. K., Crusemann, M., Lee, Y. B., Kim, J. F., Giaeveer, G., Nislow, C., Moore, B. S., Thomashow, L. S., Weller, D. M., & Kwak, Y. S. (2016). Microbial and biochemical basis of a *Fusarium* wilt-suppressive soil. *Isme Journal*, 10(1), 119–129. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2015.95
4. Chaouachi, M., Marzouk, T., Jallouli, S., Elkahoui, S., Gentzbittel, L., Ben, C., & Djebali, N. (2021). Activity assessment of tomato endophytic bacteria bioactive compounds for the postharvest biocontrol of *Botrytis cinerea*. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 172, 18. doi: 10.1016/j.postharvbio.2020.111389
5. Cubeta, M. A., & Vilgalys, R. (1997). Population Biology of the *Rhizoctonia solani* Complex. *Phytopathology*, 87(4), 480–484. doi:10.1094/PHYTO.1997.87.4.480
6. Deacon, J. W., & Berry, L. A. (1993). Biocontrol of soil borne plant pathogens: Concepts and their application. *Pesticide Science*, 37(4), 417–426.
7. Dias, M. P., Bastos, M. S., Xavier, V. B., Cassel, E., Astarita, L. V., & Santarém, E. R. (2017). Plant growth and resistance promoted by *Streptomyces* spp. in tomato. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 118, 479–493. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2017.07.017
8. Grossmann, L., Ebert, S., Hinrichs, J., & Weiss, J. (2018). Effect of precipitation, lyophilization, and organic solvent extraction on preparation of protein-rich powders from the microalgae *Chlorella protothecoides*. *Algal research*, 29, 266–276. doi: 10.1016/j.algal.2017.11.019
9. Hadacek, F., & Greger, H. (2000). Testing of antifungal natural products: methodologies, comparability of results and assay choice. *Phytochemical Analysis*, 11(3), 137–147. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1099-1565(200005/06)11:3<137::AID-PCA514>3.0.CO;2-I
10. Hu, H. R., Wang, A., Qiu, L. L., Wang, X. B., Chen, M., Lu, A. M., & Yang, C. L. (2020). Novel pyrrolidine-2,4-dione derivatives containing pharmacophores of both hydrazine and diphenyl ether as potential antifungal agents: design, synthesis, biological evaluation, and 3D-QSAR study. *New Journal of Chemistry*, 44(46), 20071–20082. doi: 10.1039/d0nj04551a
11. Hu, M. J., Gao, Z. Y., Zhang, Z. K., Yang, F. Z., & Lia, M. (2013). Resistance of *Botryodiplodia theobromae* to Carbendazim and the Fungicides Screening for Mango Stem End Rot Control. In P. Lu (Ed.), *Ix International Mango Symposium*, 992, 407–414. Leuven 1: Int Soc Horticultural Science.
12. Jiao, J., Chen, M., Sun, S. X., Si, W. J., Wang, X. B., Ding, W. J., Fu, X. C., Wang, A., & Yang, C. L. (2021). Synthesis, Bioactivity Evaluation, 3D-QSAR, and Molecular Docking of Novel Pyrazole-4-carbohydrazides as Potential Fungicides Targeting Succinate Dehydrogenase. *Chinese Journal of Chemistry*, 39(2), 323–329. doi: 10.1002/cjoc.202000438
13. Jiao, R. H., Xu, H., Cui, J. T., Ge, H. M., & Tan, R. X. (2013). Neuraminidase Inhibitors from marine-derived actinomycete *Streptomyces seoulensis*. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 114(4), 1046–1053. doi: 10.1111/jam.12136

14. Katz, L., & Baltz, R. H. (2016). Natural product discovery: past, present, and future. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 43(2–3), 155–176. doi: 10.1007/s10295-015-1723-5
15. Li, J. L., Liu, X. Y., Xie, J. T., Di, Y. L., & Zhu, F. X. (2015). A Comparison of Different Estimation Methods for Fungicide EC50 and EC95 Values. *Journal of Phytopathology*, 163(4), 239–244. doi: 10.1111/jph.12312
16. Li, M., Chou, J., King, K. W., Jing, J., Wei, D., & Yang, L. Y. (2015). ICECAP: An Integrated, General-Purpose, Automation-Assisted IC50/EC50 Assay Platform. *Jala*, 20(1), 32–45. doi: 10.1177/2211068214562449
17. Liang, H. J., Li, J. L., Di, Y. L., Zhang, A. S., & Zhu, F. X. (2015). Logarithmic Transformation is Essential for Statistical Analysis of Fungicide EC50 Values. *Journal of Phytopathology*, 163(6), 456–464. doi:10.1111/jph.12342
18. Lu, D., Ma, Z., Xu, X., & Yu, X. (2016). Isolation and identification of biocontrol agent *Streptomyces rimosus* M527 against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cucumerinum*. *J Basic Microbiol*, 56(8), 929–933. doi: 10.1002/jobm.201500666
19. Macaulay, S. J., Hageman, K. J., Piggott, J. J., & Matthaedi, C. D. (2021). Imidacloprid dominates the combined toxicities of neonicotinoid mixtures to stream mayfly nymphs. *Science of the Total Environment*, 761, 8. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143263
20. McCulloch, M. J., Gauthier, N. W., & Vaillancourt, L. J. (2020). First Report of Bitter Rot of Apple Caused by a *Colletotrichum* sp. in the C. kahawae Clade in Kentucky. *Plant Disease*, 104(1), 289–290. doi: 10.1094/pdis-06-19-1247-pdn
21. Patel, J. K., Madaan, S., & Archana, G. (2018). Antibiotic producing endophytic *Streptomyces* spp. colonize above-ground plant parts and promote shoot growth in multiple healthy and pathogen-challenged cereal crops. *Microbiological Research*, 215, 36–45. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2018.06.003
22. Peng, D., Li, S. D., Chen, C. J., & Zhou, M. G. (2014). Combined application of *Bacillus subtilis* NJ-18 with fungicides for control of sharp eyespot of wheat. *Biological Control*, 70, 28–34. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2013.11.013
23. Rani, L., Thapa, K., Kanojia, N., Sharma, N., Singh, S., Grewal, A. S., Srivastav, A. L., & Kaushal, J. (2021). An extensive review on the consequences of chemical pesticides on human health and environment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 283, 33. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124657
24. Tarkka, M., & Hampp, R. (2008). Secondary Metabolites of Soil Streptomycetes in Biotic Interactions. In P. Karlovsky (Ed.), *Secondary Metabolites in Soil Ecology*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 107–126.
25. Thabard, M., Gros, O., Hellio, C., & Maréchal, J.-P. (2011). Sargassum polyceratium (Phaeophyceae, Fucaceae) surface molecule activity towards fouling organisms and embryonic development of benthic species. 54(2), 147–157. doi: 10.1515/bot.2011.014
26. Tian, Z. K., & Kong, H. F. (2007). Current situation and development trend of biopesticides in China. *Shanxi science and technology*(3), 6–7. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1004-6429.2007.03.003
27. Viaene, T., Langendries, S., Beirinckx, S., Maes, M., & Goormachtig, S. (2016). *Streptomyces* as a plant's best friend? *FEMS microbiology ecology*, 92(8). doi: 10.1093/femsec/fiw119
28. Wan, M., Li, G., Zhang, J., Jiang, D., & Huang, H.-C. (2008). Effect of volatile substances of *Streptomyces platensis* F-1 on control of plant fungal diseases. *Biological Control*, 46(3), 552–559. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2008.05.015
29. Wang, F., Yang, S., Wang, Y. S., Zhang, B., Zhang, F., Xue, H., Jiang, Q., & Ma, Y. (2021). Overexpression of Chitinase gene enhances resistance to *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* and *Alternaria alternata* in apple (*Malus x domestica*). *Scientia Horticulturae*, 277(3), 8. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2020.109779
30. Wei, H. Y., Cai, L. M., Zhao, Y. Y., Zhou, M. C., & Tan, T. Y. (2008). Current Situation of the Microbial Pesticide in China. *Arid Environmental Monitoring*.
31. Wu, Z. M., Yang, Y., & Li, K. T. (2019). Antagonistic activity of a novel antifungalmycin N2 from *Streptomyces* sp. N2 and its biocontrol efficacy against *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Fems Microbiology Letters*, 366(3), 1–8. doi: 10.1093/femsle/fnz018
32. Xu, G.-F., Song, B.-A., Bhadury, P. S., Yang, S., Zhang, P.-Q., Jin, L.-H., Xue, W., Hu, D.-Y., & Lu, P. (2007). Synthesis and antifungal activity of novel s-substituted 6-fluoro-4-alkyl(aryl)thioquinazoline derivatives. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry*, 15(11), 3768–3774. doi: 10.1016/j.bmc.2007.03.037
33. Xu, S. Q., Wang, J. H., Wang, H. X., Bao, Y. X., Li, Y. S., Govindaraju, M., Yao, W., Chen, B. S., & Zhang, M. Q. (2019). Molecular characterization of carbendazim resistance of *Fusarium* species complex that causes sugarcane pokkah boeng disease. *Bmc Genomics*, 20, 14. doi: 10.1186/s12864-019-5479-6
34. Yan, Y. K., Cheng, W., Xiao, T. T., Zhang, G. L., Zhang, T. T., Lu, T., & Tang, X. R. (2020). Discovery of Novel 2,4,6-Trisubstituted Pyrimidine Derivatives as Succinate Dehydrogenase Inhibitors. *Chinese Journal of Organic Chemistry*, 40(12), 4237–4248. doi: 10.6023/cjoc202005057
35. Yang, C. J., Gao, Y., Du, K. Y., & Luo, X. Y. (2020). Screening of 17 Chinese medicine plants against phytopathogenic fungi and active component in *Syzygium aromaticum*. *Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection*, 127(2), 237–244. doi: 10.1007/s41348-019-00285-x

**Чжу Хонксау**, аспірант, Сумський національний аграрний університет, м. Суми, Україна; Хенанський науково-технічний інститут, м. Сінсян, КНР

**Цао Чжишань**, аспірант, Сумський національний аграрний університет, м. Суми, Україна; Хенанський науково-технічний інститут, м. Сінсян, КНР

**Рожкова Тетяна Олександрівна**, кандидат біологічних наук, доцент, Сумський національний аграрний університет, м. Суми, Україна

**Лінфен Ху**, доктор філософії, доцент, Хенанський науково-технічний інститут, м. Сінсян, КНР

**Дослідження протигрибної активності екстракту гіф штаму *Streptomyces HU2014* щодо чотирьох фітопатогенних грибів**

Застосування хімічних пестицидів має багато недоліків, тому необхідні нові природні ресурси для регулювання розвитку хвороб рослин. Актинобактерії набувають інтересу для сільськогосподарства як агенти біологічної боротьби. *Streptomyces* spp. є частиною актинобактерій і відомі продукуванням великої кількості активних метаболітів. У цій роботі методом вимірювання швидкості росту досліджено протигрибну дію метанолового екстракту гіф (МЕГ) штаму *Streptomyces HU2014* на чотири фітопатогенні гриби. Для визначення відповідного

діапазону протигрибної активності було проведено попереднє тестування з різними концентраціями МEG. Результати показали, що ефект інгібування *Rhizoctonia solani* був кращим, ніж трьох інших грибів, і склав 100 % з концентрацією 0,5 мг/мл. На основі вищезазначеного тесту були отримані лінії регресії концентрації Log-пробіту відповідно до швидкості інгібування з різними концентраціями. Значення (50 % -відсоткової ефективної концентрації)  $EC_{50}$  МEG до *R. solani* через 48 годин, 72 години та 96 годин було найнижчим порівняно з іншими грибами. Рівняння регресії токсичності МEG на *R. solani* склало  $y = 6,9826 + 1,4028x$  (коефіцієнт кореляції  $r = 0,9783$ ), а значення  $EC_{50}$  становило 0,0386 мг/мл через 72 години. Рівняння регресії токсичності МEG на *Botrytis cinerea* становило  $y = 5,6627 + 1,2386x$  (коефіцієнт кореляції  $r = 0,9614$ ), а значення  $EC_{50}$  становило 0,2917 мг/мл через 72 години. Рівняння регресії токсичності МEG на *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* склало  $y = 5,3143 + 1,0873x$  (коефіцієнт кореляції  $r = 0,9996$ ), а значення  $EC_{50}$  становило 0,5140 мг/мл через 72 години. Рівняння регресії токсичності МEG на *Fusarium graminearum* склало  $y = 5,7011 + 2,3280x$  (коефіцієнт кореляції  $r = 0,9869$ ), а значення  $EC_{50}$  становило 0,5024 мг/мл через 72 години. Штам *Streptomyces* HU2014 має значний протигрибний ефект і може стати новим агентом біоконтролю у сільськогосподарському виробництві.

**Ключові слова:** стрептоміцети, фітопатогенні гриби, протигрибна дія,  $EC_{50}$